

Project Review Committee – Minutes June 17th, 2020

<u>MEMBER ATTENDANCE</u> Present: Kevin Hastings (Committee Chair), Jane Gawronski, Craig Klein, Chris Chalupsky. George McCalla. Absent: Richard Merriman, Virginia Wilson, Nicole Ueno

CALL TO ORDER KH: 6:08 pm

AGENDA MODIFICATIONS & APPROVAL GM/CC Motion: Approve. 5-0-0

MINUTES FEB 2020 KH/CK Motion: Approve. 3-0-2 (CC and GM abstained due to not attending)

NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT None.

ACTION ITEM #1 - 4775 Pescadero

Presenters: Architect Dan, Owner Luke. Existing single story house and garage. Doing remodel of front house and 2nd story, expanding garage with workshop, carport and ADU above. 1939 house with additions through 1990. Craftsman style, 2nd floor and balcony under roof for smaller scale and massing. Retains existing exterior walls, adds porches. Height is 22-ft front and 26-ft rear.

Comments: JG: Roof projections corrected? Arch: Yes. JG: Drainage? Arch: Report done, mitigation in backyard.

JG: Likes the project.

CK: Maintains OB feel, likes the low profile 2nd floor.

KH: Garage workshop bathroom connection? Arch: Door to garage. KH: Likes integration with roof pitch, diminished scale. Energy efficient upgrades? Roof lends itself to solar. Arch: Haven't gotten into yet. Luke: Solar.

CC: Change to existing footprint? Arch: No. CC: 3rd parking for ADU? Luke: Wants ample parking.

CC: Beautiful, attentive to community plan.

KH: Original house encroaches into setbacks, 2nd floor obeys setbacks. Driveway in front has to be removed.

Overparked. Luke lives at house? Luke: Yes. KH: Great example of community improving property.

GM: Nice design, advocated solar and battery, 6 year payback on panels.

GM/JG Motion: Approve the project as is. Vote: 5-0-0

(Note: This is a subcommittee recommendation and shall not be used for city approval.)

ACTION ITEM #2 - 4614 Cape May

Presenters: Architect and project manager. Multifamily, 2 buildings with parking in each. Demolish most of existing building. Affordable, doesn't block view of neighbors, gap between buildings. Challenging lot configuration. Unit for owner and for renter. Off-white stucco, affordable design. 51% FAR, height 23-24 ft.

Comments: KH: City wants 5 parking spots. App: Added 1 next to garage.

GM: Different, boxy design. Arch: Spanish style for constructability. Flat roof for neighbors. Articulation in front.

CC: Boxy, out of character with other houses with sloped roofs. Arch: Flat roof fore view from neighbors balcony.

KH: Front has opportunity for balcony, add more interest to front of house. Add details for Spanish architecture.

CK: Agree on balcony. Bland. CK/CC: Do rendering for full board showing materials and finishes. Arch: Ok.

GM: Desert design, Albuquerque.

KH: We are the design police, appreciate variety here. Some designs are lazy - Ebers & Green. Both 2-bedroom units, city is wrong, it's a TPA, only 3.5 parking spots required. More parking results in less landscaping and permeability for rains.

JG: Boxy, doesn't fit in with neighborhood, not in favor.

CC Motion: Move to full board noting suggestions made to applicants. CK Amend: No recommendation to full board, recommend applicant bring renderings to full board. CC withdraw motion to approve.

CK/JG Motion: Bring project to full board without a recommendation. Suggest that applicant provide additional information to full board hearing regarding architectural details, materials and finishes. Vote: 5-0-0 (Note: This is a subcommittee recommendation and shall not be used for city approval.)

OFFICER'S REPORTS CK: City check for \$500. KH: STVR adhoc committee tomorrow, next board meeting in 2 weeks.

ADJOURN KH: 7:23pm

(Minutes Approved by an Action of the Project Review Committee on October 21st, 2020.)